

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,811	05/13/2005	Kia Silverbrook	MJT003USNP	9045	
24011 7590 01/29/2008 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			EXAMINER		
393 DARLING	STREET .		LEGESSE,	LEGESSE, HENOK D	
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2861		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/534,811	SILVERBROOK, KIA				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Henok Legesse	2861				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		in the final rejection which ever in later. In				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>						
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing of simplifying the issues to				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		,				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendment canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:	1.41.44					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-10,12-17,19-25,27-32,34-39 and</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3,11,18,26,33 an</u>		·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation "each heater element configured to recive energy as an electrical pulse with a duration less than 1 microsecond" as recited in claims 1,16, and 31 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

/H.L. 01/25/2008

> MATTHEW LUU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER